Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, point-free – applications to exp and log.

Steve Vickers

School of Computer Science University of Birmingham

Birmingham CS Theory Lab Lunch 9 Feb 2023

Details in [Vic23].

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Point-free analysis

Work within constraints of geometricity (colimits, finite limits) – see Vickers "Generalized point-free spaces, pointwise" [Vic22].

Strengths

- Point-free maps can be defined pointwise.
- All maps automatically continuous.
- Topos-valid.
- Deals with generalized spaces (toposes as well as locales).
- Fibrewise topology of bundles.

Weaknesses

 Lack of Π-types: non-trivial to extract geometric content from established arguments, even constructive ones.

Point-free analysis

Opportunities

- Much simpler manipulation of bundles: work fibrewise.
- Dependent type theory of spaces: dependent type = bundle.

Threats

Don't know how deep it goes, eg into original applications of toposes such as algebraic geometry. Finding out takes effort.

Typical features

- Careful to distinguish 1-sided reals (lower or upper) vs. 2-sided (Dedekind).
- Prominent use of hyperspaces (powerlocales).
- Currying/uncurrying without cartesian closure.

Point-free analysis: Currying

To define $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$:

- 1. Say "fix x:X".
- 2. Define $f(x, -): Y \to Z$ (necessarily continuous).
- 3. Uncurry to get f.

With cartesian closure:

Step (2) defines curry curf : $X \to (Y \to Z)$.

Geometrically:

Step (1) declares we're working in sheaves over X— so reasoning must be at least topos-valid! Then Y and Z interpreted as bundles $X \times Y$, $X \times Z$ over X. Step (2) defines bundle map $X \times Y \to X \times Z$. First component must be projection to X, second component is $f: X \times Y \to Z$. Point-free analysis: Real exponentials and logarithms

Ming Ng's thesis ([Ng22]; see also [NV22]) geometrically develops γ^x , $\log_\gamma x$, and usual algebraic rules.

Proof:

- ► For rational x, γ^{x} defined using powers γ^{n} , reciprocals $\gamma^{-n} = 1/\gamma^{n}$, and radicals $\gamma^{1/n} = \sqrt[n]{\gamma}$.
- For x a 1-sided real use sups or infs.
- Combine these for 2-sided reals.
- ▶ \log_{γ} is inverse of $x \mapsto \gamma^x$.

We now deal with differentiation, integration, e, and natural logs.

A possible standard path

- 1. Define natural log ln $x = \int_1^x dt/t$.
- 2. Show $\ln(\gamma\gamma') = \ln \gamma + \ln \gamma'$, deduce (with a little work) $\ln(\gamma^x) = x \ln \gamma$, so $\ln x = \ln \gamma^{\log_{\gamma} x} = \log_{\gamma} x \ln \gamma$.
- 3. Hence $\log_{\gamma} x$ is an integral, $\int_{1}^{x} 1/(t \ln \gamma) dt$.
- 4. By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC), \log_{γ} is differentiable, with derivative $x \mapsto 1/(x \ln \gamma)$.
- 5. Writing $\exp_{\gamma} x = \gamma^{x}$, the inverse of \log_{γ} , use the chain rule to show $x \mapsto \gamma^{x}$ is differentiable, with derivative $x \mapsto 1/(1/(\gamma^{x} \ln \gamma)) = \ln \gamma \gamma^{x}$.

Along the way, can define e as unique value such that $\ln e = 1$, so $\ln x = \log_e x$ and $x \mapsto e^x$ is its own derivative.

Geometricity: Differentiation

f(x) differentiable if ...?

Most common definition

$$\lim_{y\to x}\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x}$$
 exists.

Then f'(x) is that limit.

Geometrically: use Carathéodory derivative

There is a *slope* map $f^{(1)}(x, y)$ (necessarily continuous and unique) such that

$$f(y) - f(x) = f^{\langle 1 \rangle}(x, y)(y - x).$$

Then $f'(x) = f^{\langle 1 \rangle}(x, x)$.

Essentially equivalent, but [Vic09] Carathéodory good geometrically, where all maps are continuous and limits harder to discuss.

Geometricity: Integration

1-sided integrals (lower, upper) established geometrically [Vic08].

- Lower integrals $\int_X fd\mu$ have f valued in non-negative lower reals, μ a valuation on X like a measure, but only on opens, with μU a non-negative lower real.
- Upper integrals $\overline{\int}_X fd\nu$, using upper reals instead of lower, and ν a covaluation on X. Think of νU as the measure of X U.

Riemann integrals ∫_x^y f(t)dt constructed as ∫_[x,y]fdλ_{xy}: λ_{xy} is Lebegue valuation (with complement covaluation (¬λ_{xy})U = (y − x) − λ_{xy}(U)). Combines lower and upper integrals of the lower and upper parts of f.

Geometricity: FTC(1)

Suppose $f(x) = \int_{x_0}^x g(t) dt$. Then f is differentiable with f'(x) = g(x)

Proof

For $x \neq y$, slope map is

$$f^{\langle 1 \rangle}(x,y) = \frac{\int_{x}^{y} g(t) dt}{y-x} = \int_{[x,y]} gd\left(\frac{\lambda_{xy}}{y-x}\right) = \int_{[x,y]} gdv_{xy},$$

where v_{xy} is the uniform probability valuation on [x, y]. But v_{xy} is defined even if x = y, and then get $f^{\langle 1 \rangle}(x, x) = g(x)$.

Suffices to define v_{xy} on rational open intervals $(a, b) - q < v_{xy}(a, b)$ if either $q(y - x) < \lambda_{xy}(a, b)$, or a < x, y < b and q < 1.

Geometricity: FTC(2)

If f is differentiable, then

$$f(y)-f(x)=\int_x^y f'(t)dt.$$

Proof

Fixing x_0 , define $g_{x_0}(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} f'(t) dt$. By FTC(1), g_{x_0} is differentiable, and $g'_{x_0} = f'$, so $(f - g_{x_0})' = 0$. Using Rolle's Theorem, $f - g_{x_0}$ is constant $f(x_0) - g_{x_0}(x_0) = f(x_0)$, and the result follows.

Geometricity

▶ Rolle's Theorem: already known geometrically - see [Vic09].
 ▶ Riemann integral ∫_x^y g(t)dt for y < x, and equation

$$\int_{x}^{z} g(t)dt = \int_{x}^{y} g(t)dt = \int_{y}^{z} g(t)dt$$

Not that hard, and proved in my new notes [Vic23].
2-sided integrals ∫_x fdµ: non-trivial [Vic23].

2-sided integrals

For 2-sided integrals with respect to uniform probability valuations:

Theorem

Let X be a compact, overt space, let $f: X \to [0, \infty)$, and let μ be a valuation on X that is finite (ie μX is Dedekind). Then the pair

$$\int_{X} f d\mu = \left(\underbrace{\int}_{X} L(f) d\mu, \overline{\int}_{X} R(f) d(\neg \mu) \right)$$

is a Dedekind section. Here *L* and *R* extract the lower and upper parts.

Can then generalize to signed $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$: $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X f_+ d\mu - \int_X f_- d\mu$, where $f_+ = \max(f, 0), f_- = \max(-f, 0)$.

X compact, overt

These imply that X, as subspace of itself, corresponds to a point in its Vietoris hyperspace VX. Image under f is (Vf)(X) in $V\mathbb{R}$, a compact, overt subspace of \mathbb{R} . It has a sup K, say, in \mathbb{R} . See [Vic09] for details.

2-sided integrals: Lower integral $\int_{-\infty} L(f) d\mu$

Supremum over rational sequences $0 = r_0 < \cdots < r_n \ (n \ge 1)$ of

$$\underline{I}(r_{i})_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} - r_{i-1}) \mu f^{*}(r_{i}, \infty)$$

2-sided integrals: Upper integral $\int_{X} R(f) d(\neg \mu)$

Infimum over rational sequences $0 = r_0 < \cdots < r_n \ (n \ge 1, X \le f^*[0, r_n))$ of

$$\overline{I}(r_i)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - r_{i-1}) \left(\mu X - \mu f^*[0, r_{i-1}) \right)$$



2-sided integrals: Locatedness

For rationals q < r, want either $q < \underline{l}(r_i)_i$ for some $(r_i)_i$, or $\overline{l}(r_i)_i$ for some $(r_i)_i$.

Strategy

- Seek a single sequence (r_i)_i for which <u>I</u>(r_i)_i and <u>I</u>(r_i)_i are sufficiently close together.
- Choose r_n > K.
- Error of *l*(r_i)_i is bounded by sizes of squares along diagonal (diagram previous slide).
- Aim for $r_i = ir_n/n$, *n* large to make squares small.

$$\overline{I}(r_i)_i = r_n \mu X - r_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu f^*[0, r_{i-1}]$$

• Let $a = r_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu f^*[0, r_{i-1})$, and seek r_1 so that $\underline{l}(r_i)_i + a$ is close to $r_n \mu X$.

2-sided integrals: Locatedness

$$\underline{l}(r_i)_i + a = r_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu f^*(r_i, \infty) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu f^*[0, r_{i-1}) \right)$$

$$\geq r_1 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\mu f^*(r_{i-1}, \infty) + \mu f^*[0, r_i) \right)$$

$$= r_1 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\mu X + \mu f^*(r_{i-1}, r_i) \right)$$

$$\geq (r_n - 2r_1) \mu X$$

We can choose n to make $2r_1\mu X$ as small as we like.

2-sided integrals: Locatedness

How small is small enough?

- Can find $q' < q'' < r_n \mu X < r'$ with $r' q' \leq r q$.
- Choose *n* so that $2r_1\mu X < q'' q'$.
- ▶ Then $q' < \underline{l}(r_i)_i + a$, so q' = s + t with $s < \underline{l}(r_i)_i$, t < a.
- If $q \leq s$ then $q < \underline{l}(r_i)_i$.
- Otherwise, s < q, have</p>

$$r_n \mu X - r < r' - r \le q' - q = s + t - q < t < a$$

so $\overline{I}(r_i)_i = r_n \mu X - a < r$.

2-sided integrals: Disjointness

Show we cannot have $\overline{I}(r_i)_i < q < \underline{I}(r'_{i'})_{i'}$.

and we get a contradiction from

$$\mu X < \mu f^*[0, r_{i-1}) + \mu f^*(r_i, \infty)$$

= $\mu f^*([0, r_{i-1}) \lor (r_i, \infty)) \le \mu X.$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Conclusions

- With the right fundamental results, geometric reasoning can be reasonably pain-free.
- The result on 2-sided integrals encapsulates some detailed constructive reasoning about approximating reals.
- Further work: integration and differentiation for vectors and complex numbers.
- ▶ Work in progress: trigonometry. Extract cos, sin from group homomorphisms $\mathbb{R} \to S^1$ (use [-1, 1] as Escardó-Simpson interval object, [Vic17]). Use FTC to get π and differentiation.
- Further work: power series.
- Related work: I have results describing projective space kPⁿ as subspace of the lower hyperspace P_Lkⁿ⁺¹, and showing that the real and complex projective lines RP¹ and CP¹ are the circle S¹ and the (Riemann) sphere S².

Appendix: Trigonometry (work in progress)

- ► Seek group homomorphisms E_a : $(\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow (S^1, \times)$, $E_a(\theta) = e^{2\pi i a \theta}$.
- ▶ S^1 = unit circle in $\mathbb C$
- θ is in angular units of *a* revolutions
- cos and sin are real and imaginary parts of E_a
- Seek E_1 (angular unit = revolution) first.

To get group homomorphism:

Define *midpoint* homomorphism from $\mathbb{I} = [-1, 1]$ to part of S^1 , then extend.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

Angular midpoint structure on $S^1 - \{-1\}$

• \sqrt{z} = square root with positive real part

$$\blacktriangleright m(z_1, z_2) = \sqrt{z_1} \sqrt{z_2}$$

I is an Escardó-Simpson interval object [ES01, Vic17]

Midpoint hom $\mathbb{I} \to A$ uniquely determined by images of ± 1 - provided A is *iterative*.

For every X, h and t, there is a unique M making the diagram commute.

Proof for compact metric space A: T operator on maps $X \to P_U A$, where $m'(a, -) = P_U(m(a, -))$. Let M be least fixpoint of T. Suppose $rT(f)(x) \le \gamma rf(x), \ \gamma < 1$. (r = radius) Then M factors via A. For I, $\gamma = 1/2$: m(a, K) has half the radius of K.

 $\begin{array}{c} A \times X \xrightarrow{A \times M} A \times A \\ \langle h, t \rangle \uparrow & \qquad \qquad \downarrow m \\ X \xrightarrow{M} A \end{array}$



Arcs as iterative midpoint algebras



- Arc A inherits midpoints from $S^1 \{-1\}$.
- A homeomorphic to interval on y-axis
- hence inherits metric.
- But not midpoint isomorphic, because of curvature.
- Approximate flatness \Rightarrow can adjust γ in previous proof.

A iterative \Rightarrow midpoint isomorphism $\mathbb{I} \to A$. Can scale to larger and smaller arcs, and to group homomorphism $E_1 \colon \mathbb{R} \to S^1$.

Calculus

$$\arctan y = \int_0^y rac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}; \quad {
m also} \ \pi = 4 rcsin rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Lemma: Suppose z₁, z₂, z₁z₂ all in arc A. Then

 $\operatorname{arcsin} \Im(z_1 z_2) = \operatorname{arcsin} \Im z_1 + \operatorname{arcsin} \Im z_2.$

arcsin ◦ℑ is locally the inverse of a homomorphism
 E_a: ℝ → S¹, and a = 1/2π.

• Write $E_{1/2\pi}(\theta) = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$. (θ in radians.)

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック

Calculus - derivatives

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\sin\theta = \sqrt{1-\sin^2\theta} = \cos\theta,$$

and then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\cos\theta = \frac{d}{d\theta}\sqrt{1-\sin^2\theta} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{-2\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\sqrt{1-\sin^2\theta}} = -\sin\theta.$$

Extend these to the whole of \mathbb{R} using homomorphism property of $E_{2\pi}$: $\sin(\theta + \phi) = \sin \theta \cos \phi + \cos \theta \sin \phi$, etc.

Bibliography I

[ES01] M.H. Escardó and A.K. Simpson, A universal characterization of the closed euclidean interval, Logic in Computer Science, 2001. Proceedings. 16th Annual IEEE Symposium on, 2001, pp. 115–125.

- [Ng22] Ming Ng, Adelic geometry via topos theory, Ph.D. thesis, School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, 2022.
- [NV22] Ming Ng and Steven Vickers, Point-free construction of real exponentiation, Logical Methods in Computer Science 18 (2022), no. 3, 15:1–15:32, DOI 10.46298/Imcs-18(3:15)2022.
- [Vic08] Steven Vickers, A localic theory of lower and upper integrals, Mathematical Logic Quarterly 54 (2008), no. 1, 109–123.

Bibliography II

[Vic09] _____, *The connected Vietoris powerlocale*, Topology and its Applications **156** (2009), no. 11, 1886–1910.

[Vic17] _____, The localic compact interval is an Escardó-Simpson interval object, Mathematical Logic Quarterly 63 (2017), no. 6, 614–629, DOI 10.1002/malq.201500090.

[Vic22] _____, Generalized point-free spaces, pointwise, https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01113, 2022.

[Vic23] _____, The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus point-free, with applications to exponentials and logarithms, Available at https://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/~sjv/expDiff.pdf, 2023.